AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

Claim 1 (Original): A high-strength steel sheet having excellent workability comprising:

0.06 to 0.25 % by mass of carbon;

0.5 to 3.5 % by mass of Si; and

0.7 to 4 % by mass of Mn,

wherein mother structure of said steel sheet is ferrite, second phase structure of said steel sheet comprises martensite and the residual austenite and said second phase structure $(\alpha 1 + \gamma_R)$ has an area fraction of 25 % or less based on the total structure when it is measured by image analysis,

and wherein said steel sheet satisfies the following requirements (1) to (3):

- (1) the volume fraction ($Vt\gamma_R$) of said residual austenite is 5 % or more when a measurement specimen of said residual austenite is measured by saturation magnetization measurement,
- (2) the ratio (SF γ_R / Vt γ_R) of the area fraction (SF γ_R) of said residual austenite within the ferrite particle to Vt γ_R is 0.65 or more when the area fraction is measured by FE-SEM/EBSP, and
- (3) the ratio $[\alpha 2/(\alpha 1 + \gamma_R)]$ of the space factor ($\alpha 2$) of said martensite to the second phase structure $(\alpha 1 + \gamma_R)$ satisfies the following expression:

$$0.25 \le [\alpha 2/(\alpha 1 + \gamma_R)] \le 0.60$$
,

wherein the space factor ($\alpha 2$) is calculated from a difference between the second phase structure ($\alpha 1 + \gamma_R$) and the residual austenite (Vt γ_R).

Claim 2 (Currently Amended): A high-strength steel sheet having excellent workability comprising:

0.06 to 0.25 % by mass of carbon;

0.5 to 3.5 % by mass of Si; and

0.7 to 4 % by mass of Mn,

wherein mother structure of said steel sheet is ferrite, second phase structure of said steel sheet comprises martensite and the residual austenite and said second phase structure $(\alpha 1 + \gamma_R)$ has an area fraction of 25 % or less based on the total structure when it is measured by image analysis,

and wherein said steel sheet satisfies the following requirements (1), (4) and (3):

- (1) the volume fraction ($Vt\gamma_R$) of said residual austenite is 5 % or more when a measurement specimen of said residual austenite is measured by saturation magnetization measurement,
 - (4) the average C content of said residual austenite is 0.95 to 1.2 1.15 % by mass, and
- (3) the ratio $[\alpha 2/(\alpha 1 + \gamma_R)]$ of the space factor ($\alpha 2$) of said martensite to the second phase structure ($\alpha 1 + \gamma_R$) satisfies the following expression:

$$0.25 \le [\alpha 2/(\alpha 1 + \gamma_R)] \le 0.60$$
,

wherein the space factor ($\alpha 2$) is calculated from a difference between the second phase structure ($\alpha 1 + \gamma_R$) and the residual austenite (Vt γ_R).

Claim 3 (Currently Amended): A process for producing the <u>a</u> high-strength steel sheet of claim 1 by hot rolling, optionally cold rolling and continuous annealing, the process comprising the steps of:

subjecting a steel slab, which comprises the components set forth in claim-1

0.06 to 0.25 % by mass of carbon,

0.5 to 3.5 % by mass of Si, and

0.7 to 4 % by mass of Mn.

to solution treatment at 1,270°C or higher for 5 hours or more;

hot rolling the slab into a steel sheet; and

subjecting the steel sheet to austempering to be wound up, after the hot rolled plate is eooled to a by cooling the steel sheet after the hot rolling to the bainite transformation range and maintained at maintaining the steel sheet within that temperature range for 50 to 200 seconds.

Claim 4 (Canceled)

Claim 5 (New): The process according to Claim 3, further comprising cold rolling the steel sheet after the austempering.

Claim 6 (New): The process according to Claim 5, further comprising subjecting the cold rolled steel sheet to continuous annealing.

Claim 7 (New): The steel sheet according to Claim 2, wherein the residual austenite having the average C content of 0.95 to 1.15 % by mass is within each ferrite particle.